

APPENDIX C

**08/28/2008
MEETING
EVALUATION FORMS**

Meeting Evaluation Form

County/Region:	<i>DePiD</i>
Date:	<i>8/28/08</i>
Facilitator(s):	<i>Peter Fletcher</i>

Instructions: For each item below, please circle the number/response that best expresses your opinion.

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Strongly Disagree	Don Know
General Meeting Questions						
1. The information covered in the group discussions, examples and explanations was understandable.	(1)	2	3	4	5	6
2. The meeting provided a good forum for communication about public/human services transportation coordination.	(1)	2	3	4	5	6
3. Participants at the meeting were from a broad stakeholder group.	1	2	3	(4)	5	6
4. The county/region's prioritized action plan is comprehensive and realistic.	(1)	2	3	4	5	6
5. The county/region has a working coordination team.	1	2	(3)	4	5	6
6. The 2006 Coordination plan has been implemented.	1	(2)	3	4	5	6
7. Developing the prioritized action plan was meaningful and valuable.	(1)	2	3	4	5	6
8. I feel the coordination process in the county/region will be improved based on the assessment, action plan and implementation strategies.	(1)	2	3	4	5	6
Facilitator Questions						
9. Facilitator was knowledgeable about the meeting process.	(1)	2	3	4	5	6
10. The information was presented in a clear, logical format.	(1)	2	3	4	5	6

10. The time allotted for the meeting was: too much about right not enough

11. List three key points/issues presented during the meeting that were the most valuable or useful.

*Coordination
Communication
Innovation*

12. List any information or meeting content you felt was omitted or needed further clarification.

None

13. Are you interested in participating on the team that will implement the coordination plan strategies? If yes, indicate your availability.

Yes Around work schedule

14. Other comments.

Meeting Evaluation Form

County/Region:	Peppin
Date:	8/28/08
Facilitator(s):	Peta Fletcher

Instructions: For each item below, please circle the number/response that best expresses your opinion.

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Strongly Disagree	Don't Know
General Meeting Questions						
1. The information covered in the group discussions, examples and explanations was understandable.	(1)	2	3	4	5	6
2. The meeting provided a good forum for communication about public/human services transportation coordination.	(1)	2	3	4	5	6
3. Participants at the meeting were from a broad stakeholder group.	1	2	3	4	(5)	6
4. The county/region's prioritized action plan is comprehensive and realistic.	(1)	2	3	4	5	6
5. The county/region has a working coordination team.	1	(2)	3	4	5	6
6. The 2006 Coordination plan has been implemented.	1	(2)	3	4	5	6
7. Developing the prioritized action plan was meaningful and valuable.	(1)	2	3	4	5	6
8. I feel the coordination process in the county/region will be improved based on the assessment, action plan and implementation strategies.	1	(2)	3	4	5	6
Facilitator Questions						
9. Facilitator was knowledgeable about the meeting process.	(1)	2	3	4	5	6
10. The information was presented in a clear, logical format.	(1)	2	3	4	5	6

10. The time allotted for the meeting was: too much (about right) not enough

11. List three key points/issues presented during the meeting that were the most valuable or useful.

Regionalization; software; public perception

12. List any information or meeting content you felt was omitted or needed further clarification.

(1)

13. Are you interested in participating on the team that will implement the coordination plan strategies? If yes, indicate your availability.

Meeting Evaluation Form

County/Region:	<i>Peper</i>
Date:	<i>8/28</i>
Facilitator(s):	<i>Peter Fletcher</i>

Instructions: For each item below, please circle the number/response that expresses your opinion.

	Strongly Agree		Agree	
General Meeting Questions				
1. The information covered in the group discussions, examples and explanations was understandable.	<u>1</u>	2	3	4
2. The meeting provided a good forum for communication about public/human services transportation coordination.	<u>1</u>	2	3	4
3. Participants at the meeting were from a broad stakeholder group.	1	2	<u>3</u>	4
4. The county/region's prioritized action plan is comprehensive and realistic.	<u>1</u>	2	3	4
5. The county/region has a working coordination team.	1	2	<u>3</u>	4
6. The 2006 Coordination plan has been implemented.	1	2	3	4
7. Developing the prioritized action plan was meaningful and valuable.	<u>1</u>	2	3	4
8. I feel the coordination process in the county/region will be improved based on the assessment, action plan and implementation strategies.	<u>1</u>	2	3	4
Facilitator Questions				
9. Facilitator was knowledgeable about the meeting process.	<u>1</u>	2	3	4
10. The information was presented in a clear, logical format.	<u>1</u>	2	3	4

10. The time allotted for the meeting was: too much about right

11. List three key points/issues presented during the meeting that were the most valuable
developing strategies + action plan

12. List any information or meeting content you felt was omitted or needed further clarification

13. Are you interested in participating on the team that will implement the coordination plan yes, indicate your availability.

14. Other comments. *great mtg!*

Meeting Evaluation Form

County/Region:	Pepin Co.
Date:	5/28/08
Facilitator(s):	Peter Fletcher

Instructions: For each item below, please circle the number/response that best expresses your opinion.

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Strongly Disagree	Don't Know
General Meeting Questions						
1. The information covered in the group discussions, examples and explanations was understandable.	1	(2)	3	4	5	6
2. The meeting provided a good forum for communication about public/human services transportation coordination.	(1)	2	3	4	5	6
3. Participants at the meeting were from a broad stakeholder group.	1	2	3	(4)	5	6
4. The county/region's prioritized action plan is comprehensive and realistic.	(1)	2	3	4	5	6
5. The county/region has a working coordination team.	1	2	3	4	(5)	6
6. The 2006 Coordination plan has been implemented.	1	2	3	4	5	(6)
7. Developing the prioritized action plan was meaningful and valuable.	1	(2)	3	4	5	6
8. I feel the coordination process in the county/region will be improved based on the assessment, action plan and implementation strategies.	1	(2)	3	4	5	6
Facilitator Questions						
9. Facilitator was knowledgeable about the meeting process.	1	(2)	3	4	5	6
10. The information was presented in a clear, logical format.	1	(2)	3	4	5	6

10. The time allotted for the meeting was: too much about right not enough

11. List three key points/issues presented during the meeting that were the most valuable or useful.
*Discussion about coordinated teams (Regionally)
 Coordinating Transp. Dis patch*

12. List any information or meeting content you felt was omitted or needed further clarification.

13. Are you interested in participating on the team that will implement the coordination plan strategies? If yes, indicate your availability. *perhaps, depending on time issues.*

14. Other comments.